CANUCK LAW

Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

MAY 21, 2025 BY RONNIE

Universal Ostrich Farms, Part 2: The Pelech Affidavit, $48,000 Per Egg



ID 5

FILED / DÉPOSÉ
FEDERAL COURT
COUR FÉDÉRALE
20-JAN-2025
Jessica Lorch
Ottawa, ONT | 5

Action T-294-25

FEDERAL COURT

BETWEEN

UNIVERSAL OSTRICH FARMS LTD.

APPLICANT

AND:

CANADIAN FOOD INSPECTION AGENCY

RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT

A segment of the public has been following the case of a British Columbia farm that was ordered cull approximately 400 of its ostriches. The order came from the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (or CFIA) and was challenged in the Federal Court. It was unsuccessful, but an Appeal is likely. The coverage that the “alternative” media has shared would lead people to believe this is simply an attack on the local food supply.

However, looking a little deeper into the case, it seems that these animals had other purposes. This included being used to generate “antibodies” for the so-called “SARS-CoV-2” virus. Or rather, their eggs would be. What else have these animals been treated with?

See Part 1 in the Universal Ostrich Farms series for more information.



dee washimoto

MAY 19, 2025 AT 9:44 PM

Hi, Canuck Law, What is your position on this or the purpose of your article? That OMG, the ostrich farm owners may have not disclosed the whole truth vs an illegitimate government that has never met a self benefitting crime it doesn't like?

This digging for the truth — while pleasing to some — has angered others. However, this site doesn’t “bend the knee”, just because people get annoyed.

Also, this isn’t Liberty Talk, so don’t expect some “feel good” speech or interview.

Now we get to the main Affidavit of Steven Pelech, the expert witness. He’s a professor at the University of British Columbia (UBC), and has an interesting research specialty. He also makes it abundantly clear he believes virology is a legitimate science.

Pelech Clarifies Ostriches Used For Biomedical Research

5. In addition to these facts, I have viewed several media interviews[1,2,3] with Ms. Katie Pasitney, who is the daughter of one of the owner of the UOF, and this has further informed me regarding their history and the use of these ostriches for biomedical research.

6. My own training in immunology and virology and personal experience and understanding of these fields affords me the ability to consider and weigh these issues in a knowledgeable way and offer a qualified expert opinion.

7. I have been actively involved in the study of coronaviruses for over 5 years, especially with respect to the SARS-CoV-2 virus, which is responsible for COVID-19, and the production of antibodies against this virus in people who have been infected by this virus and/or have been vaccinated against this virus. I have been involved in the development of serological tests for SARS-CoV-2 directed antibodies, and the application of these tests to evaluate natural and COVID-19 vaccine-induced immunity in a 4,500-person clinical study. My experience with SARS-CoV-2 is very applicable to influenza, which is caused by a similar respiratory virus. In Part 5 of my report, I will further elaborate on my experience in immunology and viral research to further establish my expertise in the matters under discussion.

Pelech's Affidavit makes it clear that there may be perceived problems with his objectivity. He states that has been involved in developing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. He's been doing this kind of work for years. This is also the same purpose that the ostriches in question are being used for.

These birds weren't destined to be food (hopefully), but were used for biological testing.

Pelech Believes PCR Testing Is Legitimate

31. There are two major types of testing used to determine whether a person or animal is actively infected with a pathogen like influenza. A nucleic acid test (NAT), most commonly the Reverse Transcription - Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)-based test, has been used for detection of the RNA component of the virus. It relies on amplification of the viral nucleic acid material through repeated heating and cooling cycles of separation and annealing of the nucleic acid strands, with a doubling of the genetic material with each thermal cycle. The other type of test is the rapid antigen test (RAT), which typically detects the presence of a viral protein.

32. The main issue with the RT-PCR test is that it often employs a high number of thermal cycles (Ct), which can generate a large percentage of false-positive results. Individuals can still test positive with the RT-PCR test two weeks after they have fully recovered from COVID-19 and are non-contagious. It is not possible to amplify the viral protein material in a rapid antigen test, so it suffers from a lack of sensitivity and can often generate false-negatives. Depending on the specificity of the antibody detection reagent used, it may also cross-react with related proteins found in other influenza strains and produce false-positives for a target strain such as H5N1.

Since 2020, many, MANY people have been speaking up about the legitimate concerns of PCR (or Polymerase Chain Reaction) testing. Pelech isn't one of them. Instead, he speaks glowingly about this "technology". His only real objection seems to be in how it's applied. Specifically, the number of times it's cycled through is apparently too high.

Pelech apparently doesn't object to this? And why would he? His entire livelihood centers around the idea that these sort of tests are legitimate, and that samples can be treated with other things he creates.

It's unclear how exactly he would help. It these ostriches were wrongfully diagnosed with H5N1 (or anything), Pelech isn't making any convincing argument about it.

56. It is somewhat problematic that only a PCR-test for H5N1 was performed on two of the ostriches that died in January of this year. As pointed out in the previous section, performed at a high thermal cycle (Ct) number, this test has a high rate of false-positives. It is unclear at what Ct number the test was performed by the CFIA. For positive-test results with human cases of H5N1, this is normally rechecked by the National Microbiology Laboratory in Winnipeg. It is not known to the owners of UOF whether this was done or even if the PCR test was repeated.

Further down in the Affidavit is a main point in Pelech's "expert" evidence. He's not sure what the cycle count of the PCR testing was, and that it's unreliable at the higher ones. At no point does he state, or imply, that the test itself is faulty.

> 58. The ***main issue*** is whether the remaining ostriches represent a ***health hazard to each other, the staff and visitors to the UOF, and wild birds and animals that come to the farm***. In view of the information that there has been no deaths from infectious disease on the farm for over two weeks, and all of the ostriches appear to be healthy, it is ***highly likely that the herd immunity has been achieved in the flock***. It is extremely unlikely that they would be shedding virus to each other, their caretakers, and to other birds and animals. The longer that these birds remain healthy, the ***lower the risk of potential transmission of the virus***.

Pelech again never challenges the "positive test" in any meaningful way. He shifts from speculating that PCR testing may have been done at too high a cycle, to speculating about herd immunity.

Pelech On Economic Benefits Of This: $48,000/Egg

62. **If the Herd has achieved herd immunity, is there anything rare and valuable about the Herd that would promote the advancement of biomedical research?**

63. As pointed out in paragraph 30, the ostrich is an amazing model system for the production of antibodies for research and even therapeutic purposes. The IgY antibodies that are enriched in the yolk of the largest eggs that are produced by birds. These antibodies are also the most heat and pH resistant antibodies known,[17] which makes these immunoglobulins extremely attractive for industrial applications. One example of this is the use of the anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies to coat masks to offer increased protection from infection and reduced transmission of this virus

64. The high yield of IgY antibody in the yolk of ostrich eggs is extremely convenient for large scale antibody production, as it is unnecessary to have to subject the animals to any stress. In my own lab, we have been producing antibodies that target proteins that are important disease research for over 36 years, using rabbits. For the production of rabbit antibodies, we have to obtain the blood from the animal, which involves bleeding from its ear or termination of the animal and exsanguination. From one rabbit, the median yield of an affinity-purified antibody is in own hands is about 1.5 mg. In the biomedical research reagent market, this amount of antibody against an interesting target protein is worth about $6,000 if it is all sold. For comparison, from one ostrich egg, around 12 mg or more of affinity-purified antibody can be obtained, which would be worth about $48,000. if it was all sold. Literally, ostrich hens can lay golden eggs if the right proteins are targeted for development of highly desirable antibodies. Moreover, due to their long reproductive life spans, ostriches can keep producing more eggs over a period of decades.

We get to the heart of the matter: these ostriches are a gold mine. Pelech steps out of his role as a “scientific expert” to make an economic case for why these birds should be spared.

- Antibodies derives from animals are worth a lot of money
- A rabbit can produce 1.5 mg of antibody, at $6,000 each
- An ostrich egg can produce 12 mg of antibody, at $48,000 each
- An ostrich can lay eggs for decades

Does it make sense now? The people at Universal Ostrich Farms are sitting on a gold mine. Assume each bird lays one egg per year, just for the sake of argument, we get this:

400 birds * ($48,000/egg) = $19.2 million

This flock of birds has the potential to generate tens of millions of dollars, per year, for this farm. That's why they're so against the cull.

"Freedom Movement" Duped Into Financing Legal Challenge



The GoFundMe account has raised $51,000 so far. GiveSendGo is at nearly $39,000. This doesn't include etransfer, cash, or cheques being mailed in. Altogether, there's a lot of money

coming in.

Well meaning donors are giving money they likely don't have to a farm which performs the kind of testing they'd be ideologically opposed to. And the Court case is being brought to protect their multi-million dollar project.

Does anyone feel suckered yet?

Pelech was part of the Canadian Covid Care Alliance, or CCCA. He's also been featured on Librti, What's Up Canada?, and the NCI. Seriously, did no one vet him in any way?

Kinetek Pharmaceuticals: Pelech Founder, Former CEO

Biomedical Research Centre, Vancouver (Immunology Institute)	Senior Scientist	1987-1998
Kinetek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Founder, President & Chief Executive Officer	1992-1997

Present

University, Company or Organization	Rank or title	Dates
University of British Columbia	Professor	July 1, 1997 - present
Kinexus Bioinformatics Corporation	Founder, President & Chief Scientific Officer, Director	1999-present

In his Affidavit, Pelech gives his employment record, and it's quite interesting. He founded 2 companies in the 1990s

1. Kinetek Pharmaceuticals, which he departed in 1997
2. Kinexus Bioinformatics Corp, which he is still presently part of

It appears that Kinetek was discontinued as a corporation in 2004.

Kinexus Bioinformatics: Pelech Founder, Director, Scientific Officer





According to the information provided, Pelech is still involved with Kinexus. On their products page, they list the following:

> Quality antibodies, peptides and other reagents at reasonable prices with fair representation and extensive validation.

In other words, there's a financial interest in seeing this kind of work continue. Antibodies is specifically listed as a product that the company sells.

With all of this in mind, one could view Pelech's Affidavit in an entirely different light. He has direct financial interests with the antibody industry. He also acknowledges that these ostrich eggs could be worth $48,000 each, assuming they're of good quality. While he may be honest and forthright in his Affidavit, all of this is too much to ignore.

This isn't about protecting the food supply.

That's all emotional blackmail and misdirection.

FEDERAL COURT DOCUMENTS:
(1) Ostrich Notice Of Application Certified (January, 2025)
(2) Ostrich Notice Of Application (January, 2025)
(3) Ostrich Notice Of Motion (January, 2025)
(4) Ostrich Bilinski Affidavit (January, 2025)
(5) Ostrich Espersen Affidavit (January, 2025)
(6) Ostrich Pelech Affidavit (January, 2025)
(7) Ostrich Jones Affidavit (January, 2025)
(8) Ostrich Responding Motion Record (January, 2025)
(9) Ostrich Responding Motion Record Expedited (February, 2025)
(10) Ostrich Motion Record Ex-Parte (February, 2025)
(11) Ostrich Exemption Notice Of Application (February, 2025)
(12) Ostrich Exemption Motion Record (February, 2025)
(13) Ostrich Ruling Of Justice Zinn (May, 2025)

MONEY:
(1) https://bcrising.ca/save-our-ostriches/
(2) https://www.gofundme.com/f/help-ostrich-farmers-fight-to-save-herd-from-avian-flu?attribution_id=sl%3A80e09934-7413-429b-acfb-2f7015cc19d3&lang=en_CA
(3) https://www.givesendgo.com/save-our-ostriches
(4) https://www.kinexus.ca/

CANADA, CANADIAN DECISIONS, GRIFTERS, LAWFARE, NGO/CS, OSTRICHES, VACCINES

4 Replies to “Universal Ostrich Farms, Part 2: The Pelech Affidavit, $48,000 Per Egg”

NoneYaBiz

MAY 21, 2025 AT 6:47 AM

Ronnie, I don’t come here to be coddled and swathed in baby cloth. Gimme the Facts! Period.

Another banger, straight OUTTA THE PARK!

Grace

MAY 21, 2025 AT 7:06 AM

Thank you so much for taking the time to do proper research…now we must all share widely.

Gordon S Watson

MAY 21, 2025 AT 10:39 AM

I saw Stephen Pelech speak last fall in Victoria. He talked to us about his new book

A detailed examination of the COVID-19 pandemic. Down the COVID-19 Rabbit Hole discusses the widespread misuse of science during the pandemic.

I was favourably impressed.

smayer97

MAY 21, 2025 AT 8:21 PM

What do you think of Jane Scharf's original posts (OP) here, and subsequent comments by here in that thread?
https://www.facebook.com/mj.scharf.7/posts/pfbid02nQQJG77W9SBjFFsXUVQ2V82hzZDjz9YFgQvu2uJkGyuNGwrAfQBcKoMySxxTTbvhl

(for those who may not be able to access the posts, here is some of the content:

OP1:
"No, the Universal Ostrich Farm in Edgewood, BC did not inject their birds with diseases.
Here are the facts:
• The farm has consistently stated that they did not inject the birds with viruses (including COVID-19 or avian influenza).
• Their research involved monitoring natural immune responses by studying antibodies found in ostrich eggs, not by infecting the birds.
• They voluntarily shared data with the government and cooperated with oversight.
• There is no evidence, documentation, or testimony proving that the farm conducted any harmful or experimental injections.
Allegations that they were "injecting the birds with disease" are unfounded and speculative, often based on unrelated Japanese studies falsely linked to this farm without any proof.
If CFIA or critics had real evidence of injections, it would be published and used in court — not whispered through social media videos."

OP2:
"‼️ URGENT: BIOHAZARD or BUREAUCRATIC FRAUD? ‼️
#SaveTheOstriches #GrandJuryRising
The Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) insists the Universal Ostrich Farm birds are infected with highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) —
BUT HERE'S THE CATCH:
If the birds are diseased, then by LAW they are contaminated biohazard material — not garbage!
That means:
☣️ Full public notification along transport routes
☣️ Sealed, biosecure vehicles
☣️ Certified hazardous waste burial or incineration
☣️ Environmental protection plans
☣️ Public access to test results
So why is CFIA trying to quietly bury the birds in local landfills — without proof, public notice, or proper procedure?
20+ landfills in the Kootenays have REFUSED the carcasses without testing and public transparency.
They are protecting YOUR health and OUR land.
Meanwhile, the farm has voluntarily provided research data and has been nothing but transparent.

What is CFIA hiding?

Either:

1. The birds are NOT diseased — and the cull is unjustified.

OR

2. The birds ARE diseased — and CFIA is VIOLATING federal biohazard laws.

Which is it, CFIA? We demand answers.

We demand accountability.

We demand a GRAND JURY.

⚖️ Justice starts with truth."

Comment 1:

"You're right to be angry about COVID lies, lockdowns, and Pharma tyranny — so am I.

But you've taken a lazy shortcut and missed the real enemy.

The Universal Ostrich Farm is not Big Pharma.

They're not making vaccines.

They're not forcing masks.

They're not pushing mandates.

They're independent researchers studying natural immunity in ostriches — and unlike Pfizer, they shared their findings openly. No patents. No billion-dollar profits. No forced injections.

You say they "inoculated" birds — they tested egg antibodies in a lab. No one was hurt. No ostrich died. No human was coerced. You think that's equivalent to the mass global psychological operation of COVID? That's not logic — that's reaction.

The real tyranny is the CFIA, bulldozing private farmers, destroying data, silencing research, and burying live animals in landfills without due process or proof.

This farm didn't start a global cult — they're being crushed by the same machine we've all been fighting.

So no, this isn't "supporting the next thing for Facebook likes."

It's about drawing the line where it matters — when the government comes for honest, curious, peaceful people and says:

"Shut up. Obey. Or we kill everything you built."

If you can't see the difference between this farm and the globalist health cartel —

Then maybe your head's the one in the sand."